50



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/022,979	02/12/1998	MITSUO NIIDA	35.C12549	6329
5514 759	90 10/14/2003		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			JONES, PRENELL P	
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 101111, 1			2667	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003	21

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action

Application No. 09/022,979 Applicant(s)

Niida et al

Examiner

Prenell Jones

Art Unit 2667



THE B	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There rejecti allowa	REPLY FILED <u>Sep 11, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ence; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
ext app set	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the illing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 💢	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	\square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: Applicants amendment has overcome the rejection, however, the limitation "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from said first communication interface" requires a further
3. 🗓	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface"
3. ☑ 4. □	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first
	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in
4. 🗆	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
4. 🗆	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
4.	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
4.	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \overline{\text{X}} will not be entered or b) will be entered and an
4.	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \(\bar{\mathbb{X}} \) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
4.	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \(\times \) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
4.	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4.	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4.	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface." Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
4. □ 5. □ 6. □ 7. ☒	Applicant amendment has overcome the rejection, however, Applicants' amendment includes the limitation of "first communication interface detects that another apparatus is disconnected from the first communication interface" Newly proposed or amended claim(s)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 60/ TECHNOLOGY CENTERPERIND. 24